Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/573,888	TSUDA, HIROYUKI
	Examiner	Art Unit
	HUNG LAM	2883
All Participants: Status of Application: <u>Allowance</u>		
(1) Hung Lam (USPTO Patent Examiner).	(3) William Brooks (Applicant's Attorney).	
(2) <u>Charlie Peng (USPTO Primary Examiner)</u> . (4)		
Date of Interview: 18 March 2010	Time: <u>2:55 PM</u>	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No		
If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed: 1,3, 9 and 11 Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet		
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 		
(A	pplicant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: It was agreed that the Applicant/Attorney authorized the Examiner to do Examiner Amendment in order to keep the consistency of claimed language(s) in claims 1, 3, 9 and 11. The application will be amended as follow: claimed limitation of "plurality of groove structures" in claims 1, 3, 9 and 11, is/are amended to "plurality of lens-shaped groove structures" (Please refer to the Office Action for details). This Examiner amendment also puts the application in a better condition for allowance.